

**GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**  
'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

---

Complaint No. 10/2020/SIC-I

Shri Nitin Y. Patekar,  
Oshalbag, Dhargal,  
P.O.Colvale-Goa.  
v/s

.....Complainant

1.Public Information Officer (PIO),  
Directorate of Sports and youth Affairs ,  
Campal Panaji-Goa.

2. First Appellate Authority (FAA),  
Directorate of Sports and youth Affairs,  
Campal Panaji-Goa

.....Opponents

**CORAM: MS. Pratima K. Vernekar**, State Information Commissioner.

Filed on:07/03/2020  
Decided on:09/07/2020

**ORDER**

1. This order disposes the present complaint filed by Shri Nitin Y. Patekar u/s 18 read with section 20 of the RTI Act, 2005 against the PIO and First Appellate Authority. The brief facts as put forth by the complainant are as under:-

a) The Complainant by his application dated 23/09/2019 filed u/s 6(1)of the RTI Act, 2005 had sought for certain information/documents from opponent No. 1 PIO of the office of Directorate of Sports and Youth Affairs at Campal Panajim-Goa under 5 points as stated therein in the said application mainly pertaining to daily wages staff and the connected information pertaining to the said subject with reference to the order No.S.A.G-03/ADM/COKEG-DW/CONT/2017 /4778 dated 21/2/2019.

- b) It is the contention of the Complainant that the Opponent PIO vide his forwarding letter bearing No. DSYA/RTI-Act/2005/2015-16/2057 dated 21/2019 gave reply to the RTI application wherein it was informed that "no information available in their section".
- c) It is the contention of the Complainant that he being aggrieved by such an response of Opponent PIO filed first appeal on 18/11/2019 u/s 19(1) of RTI Act before the opponent No. 2 the Directorate of Sports and Youth Affairs at panajim Goa being First Appellate Authority.
- d) It is the contention of the Complainant that the Opponent No. 2 failed to passed an order within stipulated time as such he being aggrieved by action of both the opponents has been forced to approach this commission.
2. In this background the Complainant has approached this Commission on 5/03/2020 by way of complaint filed against the both the opponents with the contention that opponent no. 1 did not furnish him correct reply/ information at point No. 2,3,4,and 5 of RTI application dated 23/9/20219 and against opponents No. 2 First Appellate Authority for not passing order on his first appeal .
3. In the present complaint the complainant has sought for direction against opponent for providing him correct information and for invoking penal provisions/disciplinary action under service rules against both the Opponents
4. In pursuant to the notice of this commission, the complainant was present in person . Respondent No. 1 PIO Shri Gurudas Vernekar was present. Opponent NO. 2 represented by Smt. Sushma Phadte .
5. Respective replies were filed by both the opponents on 23/6/2020 both the opponents were directed to serve the

copies of their respective replies to the complainant by registered A.D.

6. During the hearing on 9/7/2020 the complainant submitted that he has received the replies filed by the opponents and further showed his desires to withdraw the present complaint on the ground that he intends to file fresh RTI application to the PIO of Directorate of Sports and Youth Affairs. Accordingly endorsement to that effect has been made by the complainant on the memo of Complaint.
7. In view of the submission of the complainant and the endorsement made by him, I find no reasons to proceed with the matter. Hence the same is disposed as withdrawn.

Proceedings closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-  
**(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Goa State Information Commission,  
Panaji-Goa

